

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. lox 1/50
April 1/50
April

Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/029,639	12/19/2001		Anthony Patrick Mauro II	020151	5037	
	23696	7590	10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
	Qualcomm, NC 5775 Morehouse Drive				DERWICH,	DERWICH, KRISTIN M	
	San Diego, CA 92121				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	5 -,				2132		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X								
11	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/029,639	MAURO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kristin Derwich	2132						
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> .								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Preferences Cited (1 10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail							
S. Retact and Trademody Office								

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-11 are pending. Claim 1 is cancelled.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. Claims 3 and 4 recite the limitation "The apparatus" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 2's limitation is a communications device, not apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 5, 6 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spaanderman et al. (Spaanderman), U.S. Patent No. 5,325,434.

As per claim 2:

Spaanderman discloses a communication device for fast generation of a cryptographic key, comprising:

Art Unit: 2132

a memory for storing said cryptographic key (8:3-18, wherein, if a key is being generated to be used for a subsequent time then the key must be stored in memory); and

a processor for generating said cryptographic key, said cryptographic key generated upon termination of a wireless communication and stored in said memory, wherein said cryptographic key is used for encrypting a subsequent communication by said wireless communication device (8:3-18).

As per claims 5 and 9, these are method and device versions respectively, of the claimed device discussed above in claim 1 wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above.

As per claim 6:

Spaanderman discloses a method further comprising:

determining whether said cryptographic key has been previously stored (fig. 1, box 6, 5:22-28, wherein the method determines whether or not a key has been previously stored since the initial instantaneous key values are not based off of the previous key values); and

using said cryptographic key in a subsequent wireless communication to encrypt said subsequent wireless communication if said cryptographic key has been previously stored (fig. 1, box 6 and 7, 5:35-44, wherein if a previous key value exists then it is utilized to calculate the subsequent key value); and

generating a second cryptographic key and using said cryptographic key in a subsequent wireless communication to encrypt said subsequent wireless

Application/Control Number: 10/029,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

communication if said cryptographic key has not been previously stored (5:22-44, wherein if a previous key value is not stored then instantaneous key values are derived).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 7-8 and 10-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spaaderman as applied to claims 1, 5 and 9 above, and further in view of Servi, U.S. Patent No. 5,319,711.

As per claims 3-4, 7-8 and 10-11:

Spaaderman fails to teach the cryptographic keys comprising a public or private key. However, Servi discloses the use of public key cryptography in a wireless communication system (1:42-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to utilize public key cryptography in the wireless communications device because it makes it more secure as stated by Servi (1:42-49).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/029,639

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristin Derwich whose telephone number is 571-272-7958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristin Derwich Examiner Art Unit 2132

KMD KMD

> GILBERTO BARRON JOS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100